

A lattice scheme for stochastic partial differential equations of elliptic type in dimension $d \geq 4$

TERESA MARTÍNEZ[†]
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
Campus de Cantoblanco,
E-28049 Madrid
teresa.martinez@uam.es

MARTA SANZ-SOLÉ^{*}
Facultat de Matemàtiques
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via 585
E-08007 Barcelona
marta.sanz@ub.edu

Abstract: We study a stochastic boundary value problem on $(0, 1)^d$ of elliptic type in dimension $d \geq 4$, driven by a coloured noise. An approximation scheme based on a suitable discretization of the Laplacian on a lattice of $(0, 1)^d$ is presented; we also give the rate of convergence to the original SPDE in $L^p(\Omega; L^2(D))$ -norm, for some values of p .

Keywords: stochastic partial differential equations; numerical approximations; coloured noise

2000 MSC: 60H15, 60H35, 35J05

[†] Partially supported by the grant BFM 2002-04013-C02-02 from the Dirección General de Universidades, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain.

^{*} Supported by the grant BFM 2003-01345 from the Dirección General de Investigación, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain.

1 Introduction

We consider the stochastic boundary value problem of elliptic type

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(x) - f(u(x)) &= g(x) + \dot{F}(x), \quad \text{for } x \in D \\ u(x) &= 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \partial D,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $D = (0, 1)^d$, $d \geq 4$, and $\dot{F}(x)$ is the coloured noise defined at the beginning of Section 2. The coefficient g belongs to $L^2(D)$ and f is of the form

$$f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x),\tag{1.2}$$

with $f, f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

- (f1) f_1 is continuous, non-decreasing and bounded, $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f_1(x)| \leq M$,
- (f2) f_2 is Lipschitz with *small* Lipschitz constant L .

Let G_D be the Green function of the Poisson equation $\Delta v = b$, with boundary condition $v(x) = 0$ if $x \in \partial D$. By a solution of (1.1) we mean a stochastic process $(u(x), x \in D)$ satisfying

$$u(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) f(u(y)) dy + \int_D G_D(x, y) g(y) dy + \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y).\tag{1.3}$$

For dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$, $\dot{F}$ a white noise and D a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with regular boundary, the existence of a unique solution to equation (1.3) has been proved in [3] (see also [4]). In this framework, for the particular domain $D = (0, 1)^d$, Gyöngy and Martínez introduced in [11] a numerical scheme based on discretization of the Laplacian and gave the rate of convergence in the $L^2(D)$ -norm (see also [2] for related work). For these range of dimensions the Green function satisfies $\sup_{x \in D} \|G_d(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} < \infty$. This fact has two important consequences. Firstly, $(\int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y), x \in D)$ defines a Gaussian process; secondly, one can work with the Fourier series of G_D . Actually, the lattice scheme in [11] is related to truncated Fourier expansions.

For parabolic equations driven by space-time white noise, numerical schemes based on lattice approximations have been introduced in [9] (see also [10]). The method set up in these papers has been successfully applied in [11] and also to other types of SPDEs, for instance, to a wave equation in spatial dimension 1 in [14].

In this paper, we study a lattice approximation for the stochastic partial differential equation (1.1) for $d \geq 4$, extending the results of [11].

For dimensions $d \geq 3$, the Green function associated with (1.1) is given by

$$G_D(x, y) = G(x, y) + E_x(G(B_\tau, y)), \quad \text{with} \quad G(x, y) = \frac{C_d}{|x - y|^{d-2}}.\tag{1.4}$$

In these expressions, C_d is a constant and B is a d -dimensional Brownian motion starting at x , stopped at τ -its first exit time of D (see [5] for details).

We will prove that for $d \geq 4$, $G_D(x, \cdot) \in L^\alpha(D)$, $\alpha \in [1, d/(d-2))$, uniformly in x . Hence, we cannot use a L^2 theory. In particular, the stochastic integral $\int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y)$ with respect to a white noise cannot be defined as a real-valued L^2 random variable. This problem forces the choice of a coloured noise, as a way to give a rigorous meaning to (1.1).

The contents of the paper are as follows. Section 2 is devoted to study the spde. First, we attach a precise meaning to the stochastic integral term in (1.3) as a Gaussian process indexed by elements of its reproducing kernel Hilbert space and give sample path properties related with the regularity of the covariance of the noise. Secondly, we prove existence and uniqueness of solution. The methods are common to those of nonlinear monotone operator equations (see [12], [16]) and those used also in [3] and [4]. However, since our setting is different, we feel interesting to give the details. We also analyze properties of the solution; in particular, Hölder continuity of the sample paths. Section 3 is devoted to the lattice approximation and the analysis of the rate of convergence (see Theorem 4). First, we introduce a family of smoothed Green functions obtained by convolution of $G_D(x, \cdot)$ with an approximation of the identity. Then, we introduce a lattice approximation which corresponds to a weighted discretization of the Laplacian on a given grid, with weights related to the smoothing. The approximation result follows from a careful analysis of the errors in both of these approximations, taking as smoothing parameter an appropriate function of the norm of the grid.

Let us give some indications about notation. By $|x|$ we denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of any element $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The letter C denotes, unless otherwise stated, a constant that may not be the same from one occurrence to another. Sometimes, we denote fixed values of constants by adding a subindex, and the dependence on some parameters (as the dimension) with an argument, e.g. C_1 or $C(d)$. Throughout the work, the symbols $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$ denote indexes belonging to the sets $I^d = \{1, 2, \dots\}^d$, $I_n^d = \{1, \dots, n-1\}^d$, with $|\beta|^2 = \beta_1^2 + \dots + \beta_d^2$. Observe that for $\beta \in I^d \setminus I_n^d$, $|\beta|^2 \geq n^2$, and for $\beta \in I_n^d$, $d \leq |\beta|^2 \leq dn^2$.

2 Study of the equation

Let φ be the density of a non negative measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$, non negative definite and tempered. We consider a centered Gaussian process $F(\psi)$, indexed by the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Schwartz test functions, with covariance function

$$E(F(\psi_1), F(\psi_2)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_1(x) \varphi(x-y) \psi_2(y) dx dy, \quad (2.1)$$

$\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the completion of the inner product space consisting of functions $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_1(x) \varphi(x-y) \psi_2(y) dx dy. \quad (2.2)$$

The space $\mathcal{H}$ is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to F .

We consider the stochastic partial differential equation (1.1), with the assumptions given in Section 1. We notice for further use the following property:

(P) f is a function of the form (1.2) with f_1 non-decreasing and f_2 Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant L , if and only if for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(u-v)(f(u) - f(v)) \geq -L(u-v)^2. \quad (2.3)$$

2.1 The stochastic integral

In order to give a rigorous meaning to (1.1), we have to precise what type of stochastic integral we are considering. By classical results on abstract Wiener spaces, $h \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto F(h)$ defines a linear continuous functional that satisfies (2.1) (see [13]). Thus, the stochastic integral term in (1.3) is well defined as long as we prove that $G_D(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$. The next lemma provides a sufficient condition for this property to hold.

Lemma 1 *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$.*

1. (1) *Let $\psi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \in L^{\frac{p'}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) \varphi(x-y) \psi(y) dx dy \right| \leq \|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{p'}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

2. (2) *Assume $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) \varphi(x-y) \psi(y) dx dy \right| \leq \|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

PROOF. Applying first Hölder's inequality and then Young's inequality for convolutions ([1], Corollary 2.25) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) \varphi(x-y) \psi(y) dx dy \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) (\varphi * \psi)(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\varphi * \psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^{p'/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Young's theorem ([1], Theorem 2.24) implies

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) (\varphi * \psi)(x) dx \right| \leq \|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Both estimates yield the lemma. $\square$

We next prove a basic result on the Green function G_D .

Lemma 2 For any $\alpha \in [1, \frac{d}{d-2})$, there exists a positive constant C_1 depending on α and d , such that

$$\sup_{x \in D} \|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq C_1.$$

Consequently, $\|G_D\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)} \leq C$.

PROOF. Set $I_1 = \|G(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}$. Clearly,

$$I_1^\alpha = C_d^\alpha \int_{x-D} \frac{dz}{|z|^{(d-2)\alpha}} \leq C(d) \int_0^2 r^{d(1-\alpha)-1+2\alpha} dr.$$

The last integral is finite if and only if $\alpha < \frac{d}{d-2}$; in this case, its value is a constant $C(\alpha, d)$ independent of x .

Let $I_2 = \|E_x(G(B_\tau, y))\|_{L^\alpha(D)}$ and let P^x be the law of the random variable B_τ . By Minkowski inequality,

$$I_2 = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(u, \cdot) dP^x(u) \right\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|G(u, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} dP^x(u) \leq \tilde{C}(\alpha, d).$$

Since by (1.4), $\|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq I_1 + I_2$, with the upper bounds obtained so far, we finish the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Fix $\alpha \in [1, \frac{d}{d-2})$ and denote by α' its conjugate. Set $\mathcal{L}^\alpha = L^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cup L^{\alpha'/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The preceding lemmas show that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$, then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $G_D(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, the stochastic integral $\int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y)$ is well defined and

$$E \left| \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y) \right|^2 \leq \|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2 \leq C_1^2. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, for each $p \in [1, \alpha']$, Hölder's inequality along with the hypercontractivity property and (2.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left(E \left\| \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y) \right\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left(E \left\| \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y) \right\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^{\alpha'} \right)^{1/\alpha'} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_D \left(E \left| \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y) \right|^2 \right)^{\alpha'/2} dx \right)^{1/\alpha'} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_D \|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^{\alpha'} dx \right)^{1/\alpha'} \leq C C_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We next prove that the stochastic integral defines a Hölder continuous random field.

Theorem 1 Fix $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha(D)$ with α in the interval $(1, \frac{d}{(d-2)(2-\lambda)\vee(d-1)\lambda})$. Then, for any $d \geq 4$, the Gaussian random field $\{v(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y), x \in D\}$ satisfies

$$E \left(|v(x) - v(z)|^2 \right) \leq C |x - z|^{2\lambda}. \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore, a.s. the sample paths are Hölder continuous of order $\gamma \in (0, \lambda)$.

PROOF. Fix $x, z \in D$. By the first inequality in (2.4),

$$E \left| \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y) - \int_D G_D(z, y) dF(y) \right|^2 \leq T_1 + T_2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \|G(x, \cdot) - G(z, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2, \\ T_2 &= \|(E_x(G(B_\tau, y)) - E_z(G(B_\tau, y)))\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} &= \|G(x, \cdot) - G(z, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha \leq C \left(\int_D \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha\lambda} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_D \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} + \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha(2-\lambda)} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is finite provided that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{d}{(d-2)(2-\lambda)})$.

For the first one, we apply the mean value theorem to obtain

$$\int_D \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha\lambda} dy \leq |x-z|^{\alpha\lambda} \int_D \left| \frac{|\xi|^{d-3}}{|x-y|^{d-2}|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha\lambda} dy,$$

with $\xi = \mu(x-y) + (1-\mu)(z-y)$ for some $\mu \in (0, 1)$. Thus, if we define $D_1 = D \cap \{|x-y| \leq |z-y|\}$ and $D_2 = D \setminus D_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D \left| \frac{|\xi|^{d-3}}{|x-y|^{d-2}|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha\lambda} dy \\ &\leq \int_{D_1} \left| \frac{2|z-y|^{d-3}}{|x-y|^{d-2}|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha\lambda} dy + \int_{D_2} \left| \frac{2|x-y|^{d-3}}{|x-y|^{d-2}|z-y|^{d-2}} \right|^{\alpha\lambda} dy \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{D_1} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{\alpha\lambda(d-1)}} + \int_{D_2} \frac{dy}{|z-y|^{\alpha\lambda(d-1)}} \right) \\ &\leq C \int_D \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{\alpha\lambda(d-1)}} \leq C \int_0^2 \frac{r^{d-1} dr}{r^{\alpha\lambda(d-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This last integral is finite if and only if $\alpha \in (0, \frac{d}{(d-1)\lambda})$. Summarising, for $\alpha \in (1, \frac{d}{(d-2)(2-\lambda)\vee(d-1)\lambda})$,

$$\|G(x, \cdot) - G(z, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq C|x - z|^\lambda. \quad (2.7)$$

For the second term, let us observe that by the strong Markov property, $E_z(G(B_\tau, y)) = E_x(G(B_\tau - x + z, y))$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= C\|E_x(G(B_\tau, y)) - E_x(G(B_\tau - x + z, y))\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C\left(\int_\Omega \|(G(u, \cdot)) - G(u - x + z, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} dP^x(u)\right)^2 \leq C|x - z|^{2\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have applied (2.7). Thus,

$$\|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_D(z, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq C|x - z|^\lambda. \quad (2.8)$$

Since the process $(v(x), x \in D)$ is Gaussian, the statement follows from Kolmogorov's continuity criterion. $\square$

Remark: Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (1, \frac{d}{d-1})$. A slight variant of the proof of Theorem 1 yields Lipschitz continuity of the sample paths of $(v(x), x \in D)$, a.s.

2.2 Existence and uniqueness of the solution

We give in this section a theorem on existence and uniqueness of solution for equation (1.1) and we also establish some of the properties needed later.

We shall often use the next property proved in [3], Lemma 2.4; it is a consequence of the solvability of the Dirichlet problem on D and Poincaré's inequality (see [8]).

(P) There exists a constant $a > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in L^2(D)$,

$$\int_D \left(\int_D G_D(x, y) \varphi(y) dy \right) \varphi(x) dx \leq -a \int_D \left(\int_D G_D(x, y) \varphi(y) dy \right)^2 dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Unless otherwise stated, along this section λ is a fixed number in $(0, 1)$, $\alpha \in [1, \frac{d}{(d-2)(2-\lambda)\vee(d-1)\lambda})$, α' is its conjugate, that is, $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} = 1$ and a the positive constant given in (2.9).

Theorem 2 Suppose that $g \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$, f is of the form (1.2) and satisfies (f1) and (f2) with a Lipschitz constant $L < \min(a, C_1^{-1})$. Assume also that the correlation density φ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$. Then, there exists a unique stochastic process solution to (1.1).

PROOF. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{w : w \in \mathcal{C}(D), w|_{\partial D} = 0\},$$

and consider the operator $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, defined by

$$T(w)(x) = w(x) - \int_D G_D(x, y) f(w(y)) dy.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{B} \subset L^{\alpha'}(D)$.

By Hölder inequality and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_D (G_D(x, y) - G_D(z, y)) g(y) dy \right| &\leq \|g\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \|G_D(x, y) - G_D(z, y)\|_{L^{\alpha}(D)} \\ &\leq C|x - z|^{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Theorem 1, this implies

$$b(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) g(y) dy + \int_D G_D(x, y) dF(y) \in \mathcal{B},$$

for each ω , a.s.

We next show that the operator equation $Tw = b$ has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, which happens if T is a bijective operator on $\mathcal{B}$. Uniqueness guarantees the measurability of the process $(w(x), x \in D)$.

Let us first check that T is one to one. Fix u and v such that $Tu = Tv$. Then, $u(x) - v(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) (f(u(y)) - f(v(y))) dy$. Multiplying both sides of this equation by $f(u(x)) - f(v(x))$ integrating over D and using (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D (u(x) - v(x)) (f(u(x)) - f(v(x))) dx \\ &= \int_D (f(u(x)) - f(v(x))) \left(\int_D G_D(x, y) (f(u(y)) - f(v(y))) dy \right) dx \\ &\leq -a \int_D \left(\int_D G_D(x, y) (f(u(y)) - f(v(y))) dy \right)^2 dx \\ &= -a \int_D (u(x) - v(x))^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3)

$$\int_D (u(x) - v(x)) (f(u(x)) - f(v(x))) dx \geq -L \int_D (u(x) - v(x))^2 dx.$$

Hence, $(a - L) \int_D (u(x) - v(x))^2 dx \leq 0$. Since $L < a$ and $u, v \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$ with $\alpha' > 2$, this implies $u(x) = v(x)$ for almost every $x \in D$.

We next prove that T is onto, proceeding in a similar way than in [4]. In the next arguments, ω is a fixed element on a set of probability one.

Step 1. A solution for a regular problem. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and $b_n \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(D)$, $n \geq 1$, such that $b_n \rightarrow b$ in $L^{\alpha'}(D)$. Clearly, the convergence also holds in $L^2(D)$. We construct in the next Lemma 3 a sequence of functions solving $Tu_n = b_n$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(D)$; the limit u will be our candidate for solution.

Let us recall a basic result on the solution of nonlinear monotone operator equations (see e.g. [12, Theorem 2.1, pg. 171], or alternatively [16, Theorem 26.A, pg. 557]):

(E) Let X be a reflexive Banach space; denote by X^* its topological dual. Let $B : X \rightarrow X^*$ be a strictly monotone, coercive, hemicontinuous operator. Then, for any $k \in X^*$, the equation $Bw = k$ has a unique solution on X .

Lemma 3 *For every $n \geq 1$, the boundary value problem*

$$\Delta u_n(x) - f(u_n(x)) = \Delta b_n(x) \text{ for } x \in D, \quad u_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial D,$$

has a unique solution $u_n(x) \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. Set $X = W_0^{1,2}(D)$, and define $B : W_0^{1,2}(D) \rightarrow (W_0^{1,2}(D))^*$ by $Bu = -\Delta u + f(u)$; that is, for any $w \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$,

$$\langle Bu, w \rangle = \int_D \nabla w(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) dx + \int_D w(y) f(u(y)) dy$$

The assumptions on f imply that this operator satisfies the properties required in **(E)**. Moreover, $k := -\Delta b_n \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(D) \subset (W_0^{1,2}(D))^*$, for any $n \geq 1$. Thus, the lemma follows from **(E)**. $\square$

The sequence $\{u_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies

$$u_n(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) f(u_n(y)) dy + b_n, \text{ for } x \in D, \quad u_n|_{\partial D} = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Let us check that it is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(D)$. By multiplying both sides of the equation satisfied by $u_n(x) - u_m(x)$ by $f(u_n(x)) - f(u_m(x))$, integrating over D , and owing to (2.3) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -L\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &+ a \left\| \int_D G_D(x, y) (f(u_n(y)) - f(u_m(y))) dy \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq \int_D (b_n(x) - b_m(x)) (f(u_n(x)) - f(u_m(x))) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.10), and the fact that each $u_n \in L^2(D)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(a - L)\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + a\|b_n - b_m\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq \int_D (b_n(x) - b_m(x)) [(f(u_n(x)) - f(u_m(x))) + 2a(u_n(x) - u_m(x))] dx \\ &\leq \|b_n - b_m\|_{L^2(D)} [2M + L\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)} + 2a\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)}], \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C\|b_n - b_m\|_{L^2(D)}[1 + \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)}],$$

which implies that $\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2(D)} \longrightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Set $u = \lim_n u_n$ in $L^2(D)$.

Step 2. u is the solution. We must prove that $u \in \mathcal{B}$ and verifies (1.3). That is, we would like to take limits in (2.10).

We choose subsequences u_n and b_n (still denoted with the same subscripts) converging to u and b almost everywhere. We proceed in three steps.

Step 2.1. Assume that f is bounded (and continuous). Then

$$u(x) - \int_D G_D(x, y) f(u(y)) dy = b(x) \text{ for } x \in D, \quad u|_{\partial D} = 0,$$

by bounded convergence, and $u \in \mathcal{B}$.

Step 2.2. Assume that f is bounded from below, that is, $f(x) \geq -N$ for every x and some $N > 0$. Set $f_n(x) = f_1(x) + (f_2(x) \wedge n)$, $n \geq 0$. Notice that each f_n satisfies (f1) and (f2). Let

$$u_n(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) f_n(u_n(y)) dy + b(x) \text{ for } x \in D, \quad u_n|_{\partial D} = 0,$$

be the solution constructed in **Step 2.1**.

We will need the following comparison statement. Its proof is very similar to that of Lemma 2.6 in [3] and therefore omitted.

Lemma 4 *Let f and h satisfy (f1), (f2) and $f(x) \geq h(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $b \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) - \int_D G_D(x, y) f(u(y)) dy &= b, \\ v(x) - \int_D G_D(x, y) h(v(y)) dy &= b. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $u(x) \leq v(x)$ for almost every $x \in D$.

The sequence $(f_n, n \geq 0)$ is increasing; hence, by Lemma 4, the sequence of functions $(u_n, n \geq 0)$ satisfying

$$u_n(x) - \int_D G_D(x, y) f_1(u_n(y)) dy - \int_D G_D(x, y) (f_2 \wedge n)(u_n(y)) dy = b(x), \quad (2.11)$$

is decreasing. Set $u(x) = \inf_n u_n(x)$. Notice that it is an a.s. finite function. The function f_1 being bounded, we can take the limit inside the first integral in the left hand-side of (2.11). It remains to prove that we can also take the limit inside the second integral. For this, we need some *a priori estimates* provided by the next two statements.

Lemma 5 *The sequence $(u_n, n \geq 0)$ defined in (2.11) satisfies*

$$\sup_n \|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \kappa,$$

with $\kappa = \frac{(M+|f_2(0)|)C_1 + \|b\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}}{1-LC_1}$ and $C_1 = \sup_{x \in D} \|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^{\alpha}(D)}$ (see Lemma 2).

PROOF. Since f is bounded from below, f_n is bounded by some constant depending on n and thus each $u_n \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$. Now, $|(f_2 \wedge n)(u_n(x))| \leq |f_2(0)| + L|u_n(x)|$. Thus, by Hölder inequality

$$|u_n(x)| \leq (M + |f_2(0)|)C_1 + LC_1\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} + |b(x)|,$$

and by integration on D ,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq (M + |f_2(0)|)C_1 + LC_1\|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} + \|b\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}.$$

This yields the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 1 $\|u\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \kappa$, with κ as in Lemma 5.

PROOF. It is a consequence of Fatou's lemma. In fact,

$$\|u\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \liminf_n \|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \sup_n \|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \kappa.$$

$\square$

Since $u_n(x) \downarrow u(x) > -\infty$ almost everywhere, we have $|u(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}} \leq |u_0(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}}$, and $|u(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}} \leq \sup_n |u_n(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n(x)| &= |u_n(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}} + |u_n(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}} \\ &\leq |u_0(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}} + |u(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}} = \varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$ and therefore,

$$|(f_2 \wedge n)(u_n(x))| \leq |f_2(u_n(x))| \leq M + |f_2(0)| + L\varphi(x) \in L^{\alpha'}(D).$$

Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem and the continuity of f_2 ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_D G_D(x, y)(f_2 \wedge n)(u_n(y)) dy = \int_D G_D(x, y)f_2(u(y)) dy.$$

Summarizing, if f is bounded from below, there exists u satisfying

$$u(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y)f(u(y)) dy + b(x) \text{ for } x \in D, \quad u|_{\partial D} = 0,$$

and $\|u\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \kappa$.

Step 2.3. f satisfies (f1) and (f2). Set $f_n = f_1 + (f_2 \vee (-n))$, $n \geq 0$. By the results obtained in the previous step, there exists u_n such that

$$u_n(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) f_n(u_n(y)) dy + b(x) \text{ for } x \in D, \quad u|_{\partial D} = 0,$$

and $\sup_n \|u_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \kappa$.

The sequence $(f_n, n \geq 0)$ is decreasing; hence, by Lemma 4 $\{u_n, n \geq 0\}$ is increasing. Set $u(x) = \sup_n u_n(x)$ for a.e. x . As in Step 2.2, it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_D G_D(x, y) (f_2 \vee (-n))(u_n(y)) dy = \int_D G_D(x, y) f_2(u(y)) dy.$$

Lemma 5 and Fatou's Lemma implies $\|u\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} < \kappa$.

Since $u_n(x) \uparrow u(x)$, we have $|u(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}} = \sup_n |u_n(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}}$, and $|u(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}} \leq |u_0(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n(x)| &= |u_n(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}} + |u_n(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}} \\ &\leq |u(x)|\chi_{\{u>0\}} + |u_0(x)|\chi_{\{u<0\}} = \psi(x), \end{aligned}$$

with $\psi \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$.

Observe that $|f_2 \vee (-n)| \leq |f_2|$. Therefore

$$|(f_2 \vee (-n))(u_n(y))| \leq |f_2(u_n(y))| \leq M + |f_2(0)| + L\psi(x).$$

Thus, by the bounded convergence theorem and the continuity of f_2 ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_D G_D(x, y) (f_2 \vee (-n))(u_n(y)) dy = \int_D G_D(x, y) f_2(u(y)) dy.$$

Hence, we have proved the existence of $u \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$ satisfying

$$u(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) f(u(y)) dy + b(x) \text{ for } x \in D, \quad (2.12)$$

$u|_{\partial D} = 0$. The terms in the right hand-side of (2.12) belong to $\mathcal{B}$; therefore, so does u . □

2.3 Properties of the solution

The solution of (1.1) given in Theorem 2 possesses some important properties, as follows.

Lemma 6 *With the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, for any $p \in [1, \alpha']$,*

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; L^{\alpha'}(D))} \leq C.$$

PROOF. The solution u to equation (1.1) satisfies $\|u\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \leq \kappa$, where κ is as in Lemma 5. Hence, it suffices to check that $b \in L^p(\Omega; L^{\alpha'}(D))$, for any $p \in [1, \alpha']$, where

$$b(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y)g(y) dy + \int_D G_D(x, y)dF(y).$$

The function $x \mapsto \int_D G_D(x, y)g(y) dy$ is continuous and deterministic; consequently it belongs to $L^p(\Omega; L^{\alpha'}(D))$. By virtue of (2.5) the same conclusion holds for the stochastic integral $\int_D G_D(\cdot, y)dF(y)$. $\square$

Theorem 3 *With the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1, for any $p \in [1, \alpha']$, the solution u to equation (1.1) satisfies*

$$E(|u(x) - u(z)|)^p \leq C|x - z|^{p\lambda}. \quad (2.13)$$

Consequently, a.s. the sample paths are γ -Hölder continuous with $\gamma \in (0, \lambda - \frac{d}{\alpha'})$.

PROOF. Clearly, $(E(|u(x) - u(z)|^p))^{1/p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 I(x, z)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, z) &= \left(E \left| \int_D (G_D(x, y) - G_D(z, y))f(u(y)) dy \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ I_2(x, z) &= \left(E \left| \int_D (G_D(x, y) - G_D(z, y))g(y) dy \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ I_3(x, z) &= \left(E \left| \int_D (G_D(x, y) - G_D(z, y))dF(y) \right|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, Lemma 6 and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, z) &\leq \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_D(z, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} (M + |f_2(0)| + LE(\|u\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^p)^{1/p}) \\ &\leq C|x - z|^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

A similar bound holds for the term $I_2(x, z)$.

The hypercontractivity property and (2.6) yield $I_3(x, z) \leq C|x - z|^\lambda$. Thus, we have proved (2.13). The statement about Hölder continuity follows from Kolmogorov's criterion. $\square$

3 Numerical approximations in $L^2(D)$ -norm

This section is devoted to give a finite differences scheme for the spde (1.1). We have shown that in dimension $d \geq 4$, the Green function does not belong to $L^2(D)$. Thus, the method used in [11] for $d \leq 3$ does not apply directly. Instead, we combine a smoothing of G_D with a weighted discretization.

3.1 Construction of the smoothing G_D^ε

We start by introducing some technical background (see [7]). Let $\mathbb{T}^d$ be the d -dimensional torus endowed with the Haar measure dx . Any function $f : [-1, 1]^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is identified with $F : \mathbb{T}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(e^{i\pi x}) := F(e^{i\pi x_1}, \dots, e^{i\pi x_d}),$$

that is, $F(e^{i\pi x}) = f(x)$. Then

$$\int_{(-1,1)^d} f(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} F(e^{i\pi t}) dt$$

Consider the odd extension of $G_D(x, y)$ in the y -variables, that is, if $y_j \in (0, 1)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, we define

$$G_D(y_1, \dots, -y_i, \dots, y_d) = -G_D(y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_d).$$

The new function, still denoted by $G_D(x, \cdot)$, is now defined on $(-1, 1)^d$. Let

$$\mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y}) = G_D(x, y)$$

its identification in the torus setting. Observe that $\mathbb{G}_D^x$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y})|^\alpha dy = \int_{(-1,1)^d} |G_D(x, y)|^\alpha dy = 2^d \|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha.$$

Let $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty((-1, 1))$ be an even function, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\int_{-1}^1 \psi = 1$ and $\Psi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \psi(x_i)$. Clearly, $\Psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty((-1, 1)^d)$ and it is an even function in each variable x_i . Set

$$\Phi(e^{i\pi x}) := \prod_{i=1}^d \phi(e^{i\pi x_i}) := \prod_{i=1}^d \psi(x_i) = \Psi(x).$$

The functions

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(e^{i\pi x}) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) := \Psi_\varepsilon(x),$$

$\varepsilon > 0$, define an approximation of the identity in $\mathbb{T}^d$.

By means of $\Phi_\varepsilon(e^{i\pi \cdot})$, we define the smoothing of $G_D(x, \cdot)$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{G}_D^{x,\varepsilon}(e^{i\pi y}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)}) \Phi_\varepsilon(e^{i\pi u}) du.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y}) \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$, therefore $\mathbb{G}_D^{x,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_D^x$ in $L^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Define

$$G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) = \mathbb{G}_D^{x,\varepsilon}(e^{i\pi y}).$$

It is not difficult to check that, for any $\tilde{y} = (y_1, \dots, -y_i, \dots, y_d)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have $G_D^\varepsilon(x, \tilde{y}) = -G_D^\varepsilon(x, y)$, that is, $G_D^\varepsilon(x, y)$ is odd in all the variables y_i . In addition, for any $\alpha \in [1, \frac{d}{d-2})$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in D} \|G_D^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq CC_1, \quad (3.1)$$

with the same constant C_1 defined in Lemma 2.

The next result provides a bound for the error of the smoothing.

Lemma 7 *Fix $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and as in Theorem 1, assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha(D)$ with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{d}{(d-2)(2-\lambda)\vee(d-1)\lambda})$. There exists a constant C such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\|G_D - G_D^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)} \leq C\varepsilon^\lambda.$$

PROOF. Since $G_D(x, \cdot)$, $G_D^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)$ are odd in the y -variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_D^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} &= 2^{-d} \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_D^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha((-1,1)^d)} \\ &= 2^{-d} \|\mathbb{G}_D^x - \mathbb{G}_D^{x,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Fubini's theorem

$$\|G_D - G_D^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)}^\alpha = 2^{-d\alpha} \|\|\mathbb{G}_D^x(\cdot) - \mathbb{G}_D^{x,\varepsilon}(\cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha\|_{L^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^d)}^\alpha,$$

with the variable x integrated over D . Hölder's inequality with respect to the finite measure on $\mathbb{T}^d$ given by $\Phi_\varepsilon(u)du$ implies, for any fixed y ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y}) - \mathbb{G}_D^{x,\varepsilon}(e^{i\pi y})\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha \\ &= \left\| \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y}) - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)}) \Phi_\varepsilon(u) du \right\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y}) - \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)})) \Phi_\varepsilon(u) du \right\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \|\mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi y}) - \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)})\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha \Phi_\varepsilon(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.8) and Fubini's theorem, we see that

$$\|G_D - G_D^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)}^\alpha \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u|^{\alpha\lambda} \Phi_\varepsilon(u) du.$$

Taking into account that $\Phi_\varepsilon(u) \neq 0$ if and only if $u \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^d$, we conclude the proof. $\square$

Next, we give the Fourier expansion of $G_D^\varepsilon(x, y)$. Let us recall that for any $d \geq 1$, the set of functions

$$v_\beta(x) = \sin(\beta_1 \pi x_1) \cdots \sin(\beta_d \pi x_d), \quad \beta \in I^d,$$

is an orthogonal complete system in $L^2(D)$, with $\|v_\beta\|_{L^2(D)} = 2^{-d/2}$.

Lemma 8 For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) = \sum_{\beta \in I^d} \frac{-\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\alpha)2^d}{\pi^2|\alpha|^2} v_\beta(x) v_\beta(y),$$

in $L^2(D \times D)$ and a.e., where $\hat{\Psi}(\xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of Ψ .

In the sequel, we shall often use the following remark: The function $\hat{\Psi}$ is rapidly decreasing, that is, for any multiindex $\theta \in (0, \infty)^d$, there exists a constant $C(\theta)$ such that $|\xi|^\theta |\hat{\Psi}(\xi)| \leq C(\theta)$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 8. By virtue of Young's inequality for convolutions

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_D^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} &= 2^{-d} \|\mathbb{G}_D^{x, \varepsilon}(e^{i\pi \cdot})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ &\leq 2^{-d} \|\mathbb{G}_D^x\|_{L^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^d)} \|\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\rho(\mathbb{T}^d)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$= \|G_D(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\rho((-1,1)^d)}, \quad (3.3)$$

with $\frac{1}{2} + 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\rho}$. For any $\rho \geq 1$, $\sup_\varepsilon \|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\rho((-1,1)^d)} < C$. Hence, by Lemma 2,

$$\sup_\varepsilon \sup_{x \in D} \|G_D^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} \leq C_1, \quad (3.4)$$

and consequently $G_D^\varepsilon \in L^2(D \times D)$.

We next compute the Fourier coefficients of G_D^ε , as follows. Set $v_\beta(y) := \sigma_\beta(e^{i\pi y})$. By Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) v_\beta(y) dy &= 2^{-d} \int_{(-1,1)^d} G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) v_\beta(y) dy \\ &= 2^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^{x, \varepsilon}(e^{i\pi y}) \sigma_\beta(e^{i\pi y}) dy \\ &= 2^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)}) \Phi_\varepsilon(e^{i\pi u}) du \right) \sigma_\beta(e^{i\pi y}) dy \\ &= 2^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)}) \sigma_\beta(e^{i\pi y}) dy \right) \Phi_\varepsilon(e^{i\pi u}) du, \end{aligned}$$

Set $K(e^{i\pi u}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi(y-u)}) \sigma_\beta(e^{i\pi y}) dy$. By a change of variables,

$$K(e^{i\pi u}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{G}_D^x(e^{i\pi z}) \sigma_\beta(e^{i\pi(z+u)}) dz = \int_{(-1,1)^d} G_D(x, z) v_\beta(z+u) dz.$$

We compute this last integral using the formula

$$\begin{aligned} v_\beta(z+u) &= \prod_{i=1}^d \sin \beta_i \pi (z_i + u_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d (\sin \beta_i \pi z_i \cos \beta_i \pi u_i + \cos \beta_i \pi z_i \sin \beta_i \pi u_i). \end{aligned}$$

The product on the right-hand-side of the former inequality consists of a sum of terms, each of them being a product of d factors either of the form $\sin \beta_i \pi z_i \cos \beta_i \pi u_i$ or $\cos \beta_i \pi z_i \sin \beta_i \pi u_i$. Since $G_D(x, z)$ is odd in all the z -variables, integrals of terms containing factors $\cos \alpha_i \pi z_i \sin \alpha_i \pi u_i$ will be zero. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
K(e^{i\pi u}) &= \int_{(-1,1)^d} G_D(x, z) \prod_{i=1}^d (\sin \beta_i \pi z_i \cos \beta_i \pi u_i) dz \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \cos \beta_i \pi u_i \right) \int_{(-1,1)^d} G_D(x, z) v_\beta(z) dz \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \cos \beta_i \pi u_i \right) 2^d \int_D G_D(x, z) v_\beta(z) dz \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \cos \beta_i \pi u_i \right) \frac{-2^d}{\pi^2 |\beta|^2} v_\beta(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used the properties of G_D . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_D G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) v_\beta(y) dy &= 2^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} K(e^{i\pi u}) \Phi_\varepsilon(e^{i\pi u}) du, \\
&= 2^{-d} \int_{(-1,1)^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \cos \beta_i \pi u_i \right) \frac{-2^d}{\pi^2 |\beta|^2} v_\beta(x) \Psi_\varepsilon(u) du \\
&= \frac{-1}{\pi^2 |\beta|^2} v_\beta(x) \prod_{i=1}^d \int_{(-1,1)} \cos \beta_i \pi x \psi_\varepsilon(x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int_{(-1,1)} \cos \beta_i \pi x \psi_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} e^{-i\beta_i \pi x} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dx = \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon \beta_i),$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ stands for the Fourier transform of ψ in $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\int_D G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) v_\beta(y) dy = \frac{-1}{\pi^2 |\beta|^2} v_\beta(x) \prod_{i=1}^d \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon \beta_i) = \frac{-1}{\pi^2 |\beta|^2} v_\beta(x) \hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon \beta).$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

3.2 Construction of the discrete approximations

Let us introduce some notation. For any $n \geq 1$, we consider the grid of $\bar{D} = [0, 1]^d$ given by

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \frac{j}{n} = \left(\frac{j_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{j_d}{n} \right) : j_k = 0, 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, d \right\} \subset \bar{D}.$$

For any point $\frac{j}{n} \in \mathcal{G}$, we set $D_j = \left[\frac{j_1}{n}, \frac{j_1+1}{n} \right) \times \cdots \times \left[\frac{j_d}{n}, \frac{j_d+1}{n} \right)$ and define $\kappa_n(x) = \frac{j}{n}$ for each $x \in D_j$.

On the space $X = \{u : u = \{u_i\}_{i \in I_n^d} = \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)^d} \text{ endowed with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, we consider the second order difference operator } A : X \rightarrow X \text{ defined by}$

$$(Au)_i = \sum_{j=1}^d n^2 [u_{i-e_j} - 2u_i + u_{i+e_j}],$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$, The set of vectors of X ,

$$\left(\left(\frac{2}{n} \right)^{d/2} U_{\beta}, \beta \in I_n^d \right), (U_{\beta})_i = v_{\beta} \left(\frac{i}{n} \right), i \in I_n^d$$

is an orthonormal system in X of eigenvectors of A , with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{\beta} = -\pi^2 (\beta_1^2 c_{\beta_1} + \cdots + \beta_d^2 c_{\beta_d}),$$

where $c_l = \sin^2 \left(\frac{l\pi}{2n} \right) \left(\frac{l\pi}{2n} \right)^{-2}$. Notice that $\frac{4}{\pi^2} \leq c_l \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq l \leq n-1$.

In the sequel, we consider the lexicographic order in I_n^d . Denoting by U the $(n-1)^d$ matrix whose rows are the vectors U_{β_j} , (here $\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, (n-1)^d$, denotes the lexicographic enumeration of I_n^d) we have

$$A = U^t D U,$$

with D the square diagonal matrix with entries $D_{j,j} = \lambda_{\beta_j}$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define D^{ε} the square diagonal matrix in dimension $(n-1)^d$ with diagonal elements

$$\lambda_{\beta_j}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\lambda_{\beta_j}}{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon \beta_j)}.$$

We also consider a sequence $(g_n, n \geq 1)$ of step functions defined on D such that for n big enough, $\|g - g_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^2 \leq C/n^2$ for some positive constant C .

The discrete approximations of u are defined first on points of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows. If $\frac{j}{n} \in \mathcal{G} \cap \partial D$, define $u_n^{\varepsilon}(\frac{j}{n}) = 0$ (boundary conditions). For $\frac{j}{n}$, with $j \in I_n^d$, we define $u_n^{\varepsilon}(\frac{j}{n})$ to be the solution of the system

$$(U^t D^{\varepsilon} U) u_n^{\varepsilon} = f(u_n^{\varepsilon}) + g_n + n^d \mathbf{F}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the vector $(F(D_i), i \in I_n^d)$, with $F(D_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{D_i} dF(y)$, and $g_n(x) = g_n(\kappa_n(x))$, $n \geq 1$. Finally, for any $x \in D$ we define $u_n^{\varepsilon}(x) = u_n^{\varepsilon}(\kappa_n(x))$.

We prove in Proposition 1 that a solution to equation (3.5) exists. Moreover, proceeding as in [11], it is easy to check that u_n^ε satisfies the *mild* equation

$$u_n^\varepsilon(x) = \int_D G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y) f(u_n^\varepsilon(y)) dy + \int_D G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y) g_n(y) dy \quad (3.6)$$

$$+ \int_D G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y) dF(y), \quad (3.7)$$

with

$$G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y) = \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta) 2^d}{\lambda_\beta} v_\beta(\kappa_n(x)) v_\beta(\kappa_n(y)). \quad (3.8)$$

We will prove later that an appropriate sequence $u_n := u_n^{\varepsilon(n)}$ of these approximations converges to the solution of (1.1) in the space $L^p(\Omega; L^2(D))$, for any $p \in [1, \alpha']$, with an specific rate.

Proposition 1 *Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in [1, \frac{d}{d-2})$. Suppose also that $g \in L^{\alpha'}(D)$, f is of the form (1.2) and satisfies (f1) and (f2) with a Lipschitz constant $L < 4d$. Then the system (3.5) has a unique solution.*

PROOF. We apply the classical result quoted in **(E)** of Section 2.2 to $X = X^* = \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)^d}$, endowed with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, $Bu = fu - A^\varepsilon u$, $A^\varepsilon = U^t D^\varepsilon U$, $f(u) = \{f(u_i)\}$ and $b \in X$ with components $b_i = -g_n(\frac{i}{n}) - n^d \mathbf{F}(D_i)$. The set of vectors of X , $\left\{ \left(\frac{2}{n} \right)^{d/2} U_{\beta_i}, i = 1, \dots, (n-1)^d \right\}$, $(U_{\beta_i})_j = \varphi_{\beta_i} \left(\frac{j}{n} \right)$, where $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)^d$ and $\mathbf{j}$ is the j -th vector of I_n^d ordered with the lexicographic order, is an orthonormal system of X of eigenvectors of A^ε with eigenvalues

$$\frac{\lambda_\beta}{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)} = -\frac{\pi^2(\beta_1^2 c_{\beta_1} + \dots + \beta_d^2 c_{\beta_d})}{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)}, \quad c_l = \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{l\pi}{2n} \right)}{\left(\frac{l\pi}{2n} \right)^2}, \quad (3.9)$$

satisfying $\frac{4}{\pi^2} \leq c_l \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq l \leq n-1$. Thus, $4|\beta|^2 \leq |\lambda_\beta| \leq \pi^2|\beta|^2$. This property together with the obvious bound $|\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)| \leq \|\Psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$, imply $-\lambda_\beta/\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta) \geq 4|\beta|^2 \geq 4d$.

The operator B is strictly monotone. Indeed, for any $u, v \in X$, $u \neq v$,

we write $u - v = \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} (u_\beta - v_\beta) \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{d/2} U_\beta$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Bu - Bv, u - v \rangle \\
&= \left\langle - \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{\lambda_\beta}{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)} (u_\beta - v_\beta) \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{d/2} U_\beta + (f(u) - f(v)), u - v \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{\pi^2 (\beta_1^2 c_{\beta_1} + \cdots + \beta_d^2 c_{\beta_d})}{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)} (u_\beta - v_\beta)^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \in I_n^d} (u_i - v_i) (f(u_i) - f(v_i)) \\
&\geq 4d|u - v|^2 - L|u - v|^2 = (4d - L)|u - v|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied (2.3).

Let us now prove that B is coercive. Since B is strictly monotone, and $B(0) = f(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Bu, u \rangle &= \langle B(u) - B(0), u \rangle + \langle B(0), u \rangle \geq (4d - L)|u|^2 - |\langle B(0), u \rangle| \\
&\geq (4d - L)|u|^2 - |f(0)| |u|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\langle Bu, u \rangle}{|u|} \geq (4d - L)|u| - |f(0)|,$$

which implies $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle Bu, u \rangle}{|u|} = \infty$.

Finally, since for any $u, v \in X$, the functions $t \mapsto U^t D^\varepsilon U(u + tv)$ and $t \mapsto f(u + tv)$ are continuous, B is an hemicontinuous operator. $\square$

3.3 Properties of the regularized and truncated kernels

This section is devoted to prove some integrability properties of the kernels $G_{D,n}^\varepsilon$ and estimates of the discrepancy between G_D^ε and G_D , $G_{D,n}^\varepsilon$, respectively.

Along the section, θ is a fixed positive real number satisfying $\theta > 2d - 4$.

Lemma 9 *Let $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(n) = n^{(2d-4-\theta)/\theta}$. There exists a constant $C(\theta) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{x \in D} \|G_{D,n}^{\varepsilon(n)}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} \leq C(\theta). \quad (3.10)$$

PROOF. The system $\{v_\beta(\kappa_n(y))\}$ is orthogonal in $L^2(D)$. Moreover, $\sup_{x \in D} |v_\beta(x)| \leq C$ and $|\lambda_\beta| \geq 4|\beta|^2$. Thus,

$$\|G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} = C \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)^2}{\lambda_\beta^2} \leq \frac{C(\theta)}{\varepsilon^\theta} \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{1}{|\beta|^{4+\theta}}.$$

for any $\theta > 0$.

The sum in the right-hand-side of this expression is comparable with a Riemann sum for the integral of the function $|x|^{-4-\theta}$ on a region away from the origin. Observe that $\sqrt{d} \leq |\beta| \leq n\sqrt{d}$, for $\beta \in I_n^d$, and $|x|^{-4-\theta}$ is radial and decreasing. Denoting by $Q_\beta = \prod_{i=1}^d (\beta_i - 1, \beta_i)$, we have $|\beta| \geq |x|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n^d} \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{1}{|\beta|^{4+\theta}} &\leq 2^d \int_{(1/2, 1)^d} |x|^{-4-\theta} dx + \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \int_{Q_\beta} |x|^{-4-\theta} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{1/2}^{n\sqrt{d}} \frac{r^{d-1} dr}{r^{4+\theta}} = C r^{d-4-\theta} \Big|_{1/2}^{n\sqrt{d}} \leq C n^{d-4-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} \leq \frac{C(\theta)}{\varepsilon^\theta} n^d n^{d-4-\theta} = \frac{C(\theta)}{\varepsilon^\theta} n^{2d-4-\theta}.$$

Hence, choosing $\varepsilon = n^{(2d-4-\theta)/\theta}$ we obtain (3.10). $\square$

The next result gives an estimate in the $L^2(D \times D)$ -norm of the approximation of the smoothed Green function by truncation. First, we recall the following facts (see Lemma 3.2 [11]): For any $\beta \in I^d$, $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|v_\beta(x) - v_\beta(z)| \leq C|\beta| |x - z|, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\left| \frac{-1}{\pi^2|\beta|^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_\beta} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\beta|n}. \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 10 *Set $\varepsilon(n) = n^{(2d-4-\theta)/\theta}$. For every $\gamma > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\gamma)$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in D} \|G_D^{\varepsilon(n)}(x, \cdot) - G_{D,n}^{\varepsilon(n)}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(D)} \leq C(\gamma) n^{-\gamma}. \quad (3.13)$$

PROOF. For simplicity, we write ε instead of $\varepsilon(n)$. By the definitions of the kernels G_D^ε and $G_{D,n}^\varepsilon$, we can write

$$\int_D |G_D^\varepsilon(x, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y)|^2 dy \leq 2(A(x) + B(x)),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \int_D \left| \sum_{\beta \in I^d \setminus I_n^d} \frac{-2^d \hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)}{\pi^2|\beta|^2} v_\beta(x) v_\beta(y) \right|^2 dy \\ B(x) &= \int_D \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \left[\frac{-2^d \hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)}{\pi^2|\beta|^2} v_\beta(x) v_\beta(y) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{2^d \hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)}{\lambda_\beta} v_\beta(\kappa_n(x)) v_\beta(\kappa_n(y)) \right] \right|^2 dy \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)$ is a rapidly decreasing function, owing to the orthogonality of the system defined by the functions v_β , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &\leq \frac{C(b)}{\varepsilon^b} \sum_{\beta \in I^d \setminus I_n^d} \frac{1}{|\beta|^{4+b}} \leq \frac{C(b)n^d}{\varepsilon^b} \int_{n\sqrt{d}}^\infty \frac{r^{d-1} dr}{r^{4+b}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(b)}{\varepsilon^b} n^{2d-4-b} = C(b)n^{(2d-4)(1-\frac{b}{\theta})}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $b > d - 4$, where $C(b)$ is a positive constant depending on b .

Fix $\gamma > 0$ and choose $b > 2d - 4 > d - 4$ such that $(2d - 4)(1 - \frac{b}{\theta}) = -2\gamma$.

We obtain $\sup_{x \in D} A(x) \leq C(\gamma)n^{-2\gamma}$.

Clearly, $B(x) \leq C(B_1(x) + B_2(x))$, with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &= \int_D \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)}{|\beta|^2} (v_\beta(x)v_\beta(y) - v_\beta(\kappa_n(x))v_\beta(\kappa_n(y))) \right|^2 dy, \\ B_2(x) &= \int_D \left| \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_\beta} - \frac{1}{\pi^2|\beta|^2} \right) \hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)v_\beta(\kappa_n(x))v_\beta(\kappa_n(y)) \right|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) &\leq C \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{|\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)| |\beta|}{|\beta|^2 n} \leq \frac{C(l)n^d}{n\varepsilon^l} \int_{1/2}^{n\sqrt{d}} \frac{r^{d-1} dr}{r^{1+l}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(l)n^{d-1}}{\varepsilon^l} n^{d-l-1} = \frac{C(l)n^{2d-l-2}}{\varepsilon^l}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $l > 0$.

Let l be such that $2d - 2 - (2d - 4)\frac{l}{\theta} = -\gamma$; we obtain $\sup_{x \in D} B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \leq C(\gamma)n^{-\gamma}$.

The orthogonality of the vectors $v_\beta(\kappa_n(x))$, (3.12) and the properties of Ψ imply

$$\begin{aligned} B_2(x) &\leq C \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_\beta} + \frac{1}{\pi^2|\beta|^2} \right|^2 |\hat{\Psi}(\varepsilon\beta)|^2 \leq C \sum_{\beta \in I_n^d} \frac{C(k)}{\varepsilon^k |\beta|^k} \frac{1}{|\beta|^2 n^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C(k)n^d}{n^2 \varepsilon^k} \int_{1/2}^{n\sqrt{d}} \frac{r^{d-1} dr}{r^{2+k}} \leq \frac{C(k)n^d}{n^2 \varepsilon^k} n^{d-k-2}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $k > 0$. Choosing k such that $(2d - 4)(1 - \frac{k}{\theta}) = -2\gamma$, we obtain $\sup_{x \in D} B_2(x) \leq C(\gamma)n^{-2\gamma}$.

We have thus finished the proof. $\square$

Lemma 11 *With the same assumptions as in Lemma 7, set $\varepsilon(n) = n^{(2d-4-\theta)/\theta}$. There exists a constant $C := C(\lambda, \theta, d)$ not depending on n , such that*

$$\|G_D - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)} \leq Cn^{-\gamma}, \quad (3.14)$$

with $\gamma = \frac{\lambda}{\theta}(\theta + 4 - 2d)$.

PROOF. It is a consequence of Lemmas 7 and 10. $\square$

Remark In Lemma 11, the parameter θ can be chosen arbitrarily large. Therefore, for any given $\delta > 0$, one could obtain (3.14) with $\gamma = \lambda - \delta$. On the other hand, we do not have an explicit control on the dependence of the constant C on θ . Actually, this constant appears in the formulation of the rapidly decreasing property of the Fourier transform of the regularising kernel Ψ .

3.4 Properties of the approximations

One of the consequences of the properties of the modified Green kernels established in the preceding section is the following *a priori* estimate for the solution of equation (3.7). This is the main result.

Proposition 2 *Fix $\theta > 2d - 4$. Assume that the Lipschitz constant in (f2) satisfies $L \leq \min(4d, [C(\theta)]^{-1})$, with $C(\theta)$ given in (3.10), and the hypotheses of Proposition 1. Let $\varepsilon(n) = n^{(2d-4-\theta)/\theta}$. Then, for any $p \in [1, \alpha']$*

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\|u_n^{\varepsilon(n)}\|_{L^p(\Omega; L^{\alpha'}(D))} \right) \leq \tilde{C}(\theta),$$

for some positive constant $\tilde{C}(\theta)$ depending on θ .

PROOF. Let us write ε instead of $\varepsilon(n)$. Since $\alpha \leq 2$, Hölder's inequality and (3.10) yield $\sup_n \sup_{x \in D} \|G_{D,n}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \leq C$. Then, Hölder's inequality and the properties on f imply

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n^\varepsilon(x)| &\leq \left| \int_D G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y) dF(y) \right| + \sup_n \sup_{x \in D} \|G_{D,n}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sup_n \|g_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} + M + f(0) + L \|u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that since u_n^ε is a step function, its $L^{\alpha'}$ -norm is finite.

Proceeding as in (2.5) with the Green function G_D replaced by $G_{D,n}^\varepsilon$ and using (3.10), we see that for some positive constant $\hat{C}(\theta)$,

$$E \left(\left\| \int_D G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(\cdot, y) dF(y) \right\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^p \right) \leq \hat{C}(\theta).$$

Since $L \leq [C(\theta)]^{-1}$, the announced result follows easily. $\square$

3.5 Convergence results

We devote this section to the proof of the approximation of the solution of (1.1) by means of the discretized scheme defined in (3.7), with an appropriate choice of the smoothing parameter ε . Here is the statement.

Theorem 4 *Fix $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta > 2d - 4$. We assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Propositions 1 and 2 are satisfied. That is, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{d}{(d-2)(2-\lambda) \vee (d-1)\lambda}\right)$ and $L \leq \min(a, 4d, C_1^{-1}, [C(\theta)]^{-1})$. Set $\gamma = \frac{\lambda}{\theta}(\theta + 4 - 2d)$. Then, there exist a constant $C(\gamma) > 0$ such that for any $p \in [1, \alpha']$,*

$$\|u - u_n^{\varepsilon(n)}\|_{L^p(\Omega; L^2(D))} \leq C(\gamma) n^{-\gamma \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha'}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\varepsilon(n) = n^{(2d-4-\theta)/\theta}$, $n \geq 1$.

PROOF. Throughout this proof we write ε instead of $\varepsilon(n)$, for the sake of simplicity. Set

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &= \int_D [G_D(x, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y)] f(u_n^\varepsilon(y)) dy \\ &\quad + \int_D G_D(x, y) [g(y) - g_n(y)] dy + \int_D [G_D(x, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y)] g_n(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_D [G_D(x, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y)] dF(y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

so that

$$u(x) - u_n^\varepsilon(x) = \int_D G_D(x, y) [f(u(y)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(y))] dy + T(x). \quad (3.17)$$

We multiply both sides of (3.17) by $f(u(x)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(x))$, then we apply the inequality (2.3) and integrate over D and apply (2.9). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-L \|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq \int_D \left(\int_D G_D(x, y) [f(u(y)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(y))] dy \right) (f(u(x)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(x))) dx \\ &\quad + \int_D T(x) (f(u(x)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(x))) dx \\ &\leq -a \left\| \int_D G_D(x, y) [f(u(y)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(y))] dy \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_D T(x) (f(u(x)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(x))) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_D G_D(x, y) [f(u(y)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(y))] dy \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &= \|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2 - 2 \int_D (u(x) - u_n^\varepsilon(x)) T(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -L\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &\leq -a\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^2 - a\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2a \int_D (u(x) - u_n^\varepsilon(x))T(x) dx + \int_D (f(u(x)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(x)))T(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From here, applying Hölder inequality and the hypothesis (f1) and (f2)

$$\begin{aligned} (a - L)\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &\leq 2a \int_D (u(x) - u_n^\varepsilon(x))T(x) dx + \int_D (f(u(x)) - f(u_n^\varepsilon(x)))T(x) dx \\ &\leq 2a\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)} + \|f(u) - f(u_n^\varepsilon)\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)} \\ &\leq 2a\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)} + \|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}(2M + L\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}) \\ &= (2a + L)\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)} + 2M\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Notice that, by virtue of Lemma 6 and Proposition 2, for the choice $\varepsilon := \varepsilon(n)$ and for any $1 \leq q \leq \alpha'$,

$$\sup_n E(\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^q) \leq C. \quad (3.19)$$

Assume $p \in [1, 2]$. Applying Hölder's inequality, then (3.18), Schwarz inequality and (3.19) with $q = 2$ yields

$$\left(E(\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^p)\right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(E(\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^2)\right)^{1/4}. \quad (3.20)$$

Assume now $p \in [2, \alpha']$. Owing to (3.18), Schwarz inequality and (3.19)

$$\left(E(\|u - u_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)}^p)\right)^{2/p} \leq C \left(E(\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^p)\right)^{1/p}, \quad (3.21)$$

Hence, we have reduced the proof of the theorem to that of giving estimates of the $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm of $\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}$, for $p \in [2, \alpha']$.

By the definition of $T(x)$ (see (3.16)) and Hölder's inequality, for any $\alpha > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)} &\leq \|G_D - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)}\|f(u_n^\varepsilon)\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \\ &\quad + \|G_D\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)}\|g - g_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} + \|G_D - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)}\|g_n\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_D \left|\int_D [G_D(x, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, y)] dF(y)\right|^\alpha dx\right)^{1/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 11, 2 and the hypotheses on the coefficients f and g yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)} &\leq C(\gamma)n^{-\gamma} \\ &\quad \times \left(M + 1 + L\left(\int_D |u_n^\varepsilon(y)|^{\alpha'} dy\right)^{1/\alpha'} + |f_2(0)| + \|g\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}\right) \\ &\quad + C_1 n^{-1} + \left\|\int_D (G_D(\cdot, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(\cdot, y))dF(y)\right\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\lambda}{\theta}(\theta + 4 - 2d)$.

Let $p \in [1, \alpha']$. According to (2.5), where we replace G_D by $G_D - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(E \left(\left\| \int_D (G_D(\cdot, y) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(\cdot, y)) dF(y) \right\|_{L^{\alpha'}(D)}^p \right) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_D \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^{\alpha'} dx \right)^{1/\alpha'} \\ & = C \left(\int_D \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^\alpha \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^{\alpha' - \alpha} dx \right)^{1/\alpha'} \\ & \leq C \|G_D(x, \cdot) - G_{D,n}^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha(D \times D)}^{\alpha/\alpha'}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2 and (3.10). Together with (3.22), Proposition 2, and Lemma 11, this implies

$$\left(E(\|T\|_{L^\alpha(D)}^p) \right)^{1/p} \leq C(\gamma) \left(n^{-\gamma} + n^{-\gamma \frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}} \right) \leq C(\gamma) n^{-\gamma \frac{\alpha}{\alpha'}}.$$

Substituting this bound in (3.20), (3.21) yields the upper estimate (3.15) and therefore, the theorem is proved. $\square$

Remark: In the previous theorem, the values of p cannot be arbitrarily large; therefore, one cannot obtain a rate of convergence for the sample paths, as is the case for $d \leq 3$ (see for instance Corollary 2.4 in [11]).

Acknowledgement: Part of this work has been done during a visit of the first named author to the Institut de Matemàtica, Universitat de Barcelona.

References

- [1] Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F., *Sobolev spaces*. 2nd Edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics Series. Elsevier, 2003.
- [2] Allen, E.J., Novosel, S.J., Zhang, Z., Finite element and difference approximation of some linear stochastic partial differential equations, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports* **64** (1998), 117–142.
- [3] Buckdahn, R., Pardoux, E., Monotonicity methods for white noise driven quasi-linear PDEs. In: *Diffusion Processes and Related Problems in Analysis*, Vol. 1 (Evaston IL, 1989), 219–233. Progr. Probab. **22**, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990.
- [4] Donati-Martin, C., Quasi-linear elliptic stochastic partial differential equation: Markov property, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports* **41**, 219–240.

- [5] Doob, J.L., *Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **262**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [6] Folland, G.B., *Real analysis. Modern techniques and their applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1984).
- [7] Folland, G.B., *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1995).
- [8] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.S., *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **224**. Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [9] Gyöngy, I., Lattice approximations for stochastic quasi-linear parabolic partial differential equations driven by space-time white noise I, *Potential Analysis*, **9** (1998), 1–25.
- [10] Gyöngy, I., Lattice approximations for stochastic quasi-linear parabolic partial differential equations driven by space-time white noise II, *Potential Analysis*, **11** (1999), 1–37.
- [11] Gyöngy, I., Martínez, T., On numerical solutions of stochastic partial differential equations of elliptic type, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, to appear.
- [12] Lions, J.L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non-linéaires*, Dunod (1969).
- [13] Nualart, D., *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [14] Quer-Sardanyons, Ll., Sanz-Solé, M., Space semi-discretisations for a stochastic wave equation, *Potential Analysis*, to appear.
- [15] Walsh, J.B., An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In: *École d'Été de Probabilités de St. Flour, XIV*, P.L. Hennequin (ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1180**, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 256–437.
- [16] Zeidler, E., *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. II/B. Nonlinear monotone operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.